## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

V.	Plaintiff(s),  Plaintiff(s),		1:CVRP		
	AGREE	D SCHEDULING	ORDER		
	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civ	il Procedure 16, the f	following Agreed Scheduling Order is		
issued	by the Court:				
1.	A report on alternative dispute resolution in compliance with Local Rule CV-88 shall be filed				
	on or before				
2.	The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing				
	parties on or before		, and each opposing party shall respond,		
	in writing, on or before		. All offers of settlement are to be		
	private, not filed. The parties are ordered to retain the written offers of settlement and				
responses so the Court may use them in assessing attorney's fees and costs at the co					
	of the trial.				
3.	Each party shall complete and f	ile the attached "Not	ice Concerning Reference to United		
	States Magistrate Judge" on or b	pefore			
4.	The parties shall file all motions	to amend or suppler	ment pleadings or to join additional		
	parties on or before				

5.	All parties asserting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and serve				
	on all parties, but not file, the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure				
	26(a)(2)(B) on or before Parties resisting claims for relief				
	shall file their designation of testifying experts and serve on all parties, but not file, the				
	materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) on or before				
	All parties shall file all designations of rebuttal experts and				
	serve on all parties the material required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) for				
	such rebuttal experts, to the extent not already served, 15 days from the receipt of the report				
	of the opposing expert.				
6.	An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of				
	Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and				
	identifying the objectionable testimony, within 11 days from the receipt of the written report				
	of the expert's proposed testimony, or within 11 days from the completion of the expert's				
	deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.				
7.	The parties shall complete all discovery on or before				
8.	All dispositive motions shall be filed on or before and shall				
	be limited to 20 pages. Responses shall be filed and served on all other parties not later than				
	14 days after the service of the motion and shall be limited to 20 pages. Any replies shall be				
	filed and served on all other parties not later than 7 days after the service of the response				
	and shall be limited to 10 pages, but the Court need not wait for the reply before ruling on				
	the motion.				
9.	The Court will set this case for final pretrial conference at a later time. The final pretrial				
	conference shall be attended by at least one of the attorneys who will conduct the trial for				

	each of the parties and by any unrepresented parties. The parties should consult Local Rule					
CV-16(e) regarding matters to be filed in advance of the final pretrial conference						
	The parties shall not complete the following paragraph. It will be completed by the Court at the initial pretrial conference to be scheduled by the Court.					
10.	This case is set fort	trial commencing at 9:00 a.m. on				
		, 20	Jury selection may occur the			
Friday before the case is set for trial.						
	The parties may modify the deadlines in this Order by agreement, with the exception of the					
dispos	sitive motions deadline and the trial date.	Those dates are fir	rm. The Court may impose			
sanctio	ons under Federal Rule of Civil Procedu	re 16(f) if the partie	s do not make timely submissions			
under	this Order.					
	For cases brought pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), the parties may					
instead	instead follow the standard disclosure process and will have an initial pretrial conference only by					
reques	st.					
	SIGNED on		20			
		ROBERT PITMA UNITED STATES	N S DISTRICT JUDGE			

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

Plaintiff(s), v. Defendant(s).		1:CVRP
	ONCERNING REFE	
In accordance with the provision	ons of 28 U.S.C. § 636(6	c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73,
and the Local Rules of the United State	es District Court for the	e Western District of Texas, the
following party		
through counsel		
consents to having a Unite	ed States Magistrate Jud	ge preside over the trial in this case.
declines to consent to trial	before a United States	Magistrate Judge.
	Respect	fully submitted,
	Attorne	ey for: